

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,476		12/30/2003	Linda Carlsen	CAD-100	7081
46271	7590	08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
JEAN KY			CHIU, RALEIGH W		
P. O. BOX 2274 HAMILTON, MT 59840-4274		59840-4274		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3711	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T A 15 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/748,476	CARLSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raleigh Chiu	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the method steps must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Method steps may be illustrated by an appropriate flow chart or a series of pictures showing the steps in sequence. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended". If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Application/Control Number: 10/748,476

Art Unit: 3711

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As set forth in the Constitution, the "patent clause"

(Article I, section 8, clause 8) is limited to the promotion of advances in the "useful arts". Sports methods are not within the "useful arts" as that term is used in the U.S. Constitution. It has been recognized that "technological arts" is synonymous with the phrase "useful arts" as it appears in the Constitution. See In re Waldbaum, 173 USPQ 430. The claimed subject matter represents an idea that does not advance the technological arts. The instant clams merely recite rules for determining a winner in a game. The claims require no actual application of the

Art Unit: 3711

rules and thus cannot be said to be more than an abstract concept.

Furthermore, the recited steps do not fall within the classic definition of a process and, accordingly, is not a "process" within the meaning as used in 35 USC 101. The classic definition of a process is "an act, or a series of acts, performed upon the subject matter to be transformed and reduced to a different state or thing". See Cochrane v. Deener, 95 U.S. 780. Moreover, "transformation and reduction of an article 'to a different state or thing' is the clue to the patentability of a process claim that does not include particular machines". See Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673.

Therefore, because the claimed method does not include a particular machine and because there is no transformation of subject matter so as to reduce it to a different state or thing, the claimed method is not properly characterized as a process within the meaning of the patent statutes and is thus ineligible to receive a patent.

Under recent Federal Circuit guidance in the context of computer-related inventions employing mathematical algorithms, the "useful, concrete and tangible result" test has emerged.

See In re Allapat, 31 USPQ2d 1545; State Street Bank & Trust Co.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,476

Art Unit: 3711

v. Signature Financial Group, Inc., 47 USPQ2d 1596; AT&T Corp.

Page 4

v. Excel Communications, Inc., 50 USPQ2d 1447.

While the instant case is non-machine implemented and does not relate to a mathematical algorithm, to the extent that the "useful, concrete and tangible result" test applies outside the context of computer algorithms, the claimed method of scoring a sporting event is not sufficiently tangible because the claimed invention merely manipulates an abstract idea (i.e., the rules) without actually requiring that they be applied to tangible subject matter in such a way that any result is actually achieved.

Because the claimed method is merely manipulating an abstract idea and does not serve to produce a result that can be fairly characterized as useful, tangible and concrete, it does not meet the modern test for patent-eligible subject matter and is therefore not eligible for patent protection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif
4 August 2005